

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,984	07/18/2003	Arthur F. Woodrow		3062
27189 75	90 09/22/2004		EXAM	INER
PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP			PHAN, HAU VAN	
530 B STREET SUITE 2100	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SAN DIEGO, CA 92101			
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/622,984	WOODROW, ARTHUR F.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hau V Phan	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	uly 2003.					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	3					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 8, the phrase "an improved shopping cart for use at a store including a checkout stand having a surface at a height C for receiving shopping items" is not clear, whether the claim recites a shopping cart only or a combination of a shopping cart and a checkout stand.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davidson et al. (5,203,578) in view of Stein et al. (3,645,554).

Davidson et al. in figures 1-9, disclose a shopping cart and container apparatus comprising a shopping cart frame (as shown in figure 1), which is supported by a

plurality of rolling wheels (14) for rolling the shopping cart around a store. Davidson et al. also disclose a removable shopping cart basket (90) supported by the shopping cart frame. The shopping cart has a height, which is defined from a bottom of the basket to the ground. Davidson et al. fail to show a checkout stand having a height below the height from the basket to the ground.

Stein et al. in figure 1, teach a shopping cart comprising a basket (40) and a counter (T) of a checkout stand. The basket has a bottom (48), which is located above the counter of the checkout stand. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the shopping cart of Davidson et al. with the shopping cart comprising a basket and a counter of a checkout stand. The basket has a bottom, which is located above the counter of the checkout stand as taught by Stein et al. in order to facilitate discharge of the basket's items directly onto the checkout stand.

Regarding claims 2 and 9, Davidson et al. disclose frame including a support surface to support the removable basket at a height H.

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Davidson et al. disclose the removable basket including a distal portion and the support surface of the frame includes a distal end.

The distal portion of the removable basket overhangs the distal end of the support surface of the frame.

Regarding claims 4 and 11, Davidson et al. disclose one or more support structures in addition to the support surface.

5. The improved shopping cart of claim 2, further including a sliding

Art Unit: 3618

mechanism to facilitate sliding movement of the removable basket onto the surface of the checkout stand for receiving shopping items.

Regarding claims 6 and 13, Davidson et al. disclose a disengageable holding mechanism to hold the removable basket to the frame.

Regarding claims 7 and 14, Davidson et al. disclose a locking mechanism to lock the removable basket to the frame.

5. Claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davidson et al. (5,203,578) in view of Stein et al. (3,645,554) as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Swanson (3,924,709).

The combination of Davidson et al. and Stein et al. disclose shopping cart, but fail to show a sliding mechanism.

Swanson in figure 1-2, teaches an unloading assembly for shopping cart comprising movable means (38), which is provided adjacent a bottom wall (34) of a basket (16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the shopping cart of Davidson et al. in view of Stein et al. with the unloading assembly for shopping cart comprising movable means as taught by Swanson in order to facilitate discharge of the basket directly onto the checkout stand.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Thompson discloses cart-unloading means, Close discloses a

Art Unit: 3618

check out system, Shaukis discloses a checking out system and Frederick discloses a conveyor to interfit with a loaded shopping cart.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hau V Phan whose telephone number is 703-308-2084. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christ Ellis can be reached on 703-308-2560. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hau V Phan Examiner Art Unit 3618

HAU PHAN
PATENT EXAMINER

Haugher 9/12/24